

United States Patent and Trademark Office

United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F1	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/605,018	(09/02/2003	Allen Sykes	TTW7510.068	TTW7510.068 2017	
33647	7590	04/04/2005		EXAMINER		
		TENT SOLUTIONS RBURG ROAD	SHAW, CLIFFORD C			
MEQUON,			•	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBE		
•				1725	,	

DATE MAILED: 04/04/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			110
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/605,018	SYKES ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Clifford C. Shaw	1725	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence addr	'ess
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE	PLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MON	NTH(S) FROM	
 THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, and a lift the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by standard provided by the Office later than three months after the maximum patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply i. I reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS latute, cause the application to become ABAN	y be timely filed 60) days will be considered timely. S from the mailing date of this comb DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _	•		
,	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice und	·	•	nerits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the applicate 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-25 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction are	drawn from consideration.		
Application Papers	- ~	-	
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>02 September 2003</u> Applicant may not request that any objection to			ner.
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the contact of the contact			• •
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in App priority documents have been re reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	olication No eceived in this National S	tage
Attachment(s)		•	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	, —	nmary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948	′	Mail Date rmal Patent Application (PTO-1	152)
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

Detailed Action

Page 2

1.) The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2.) Claims 1, 3-6, and 23-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Manz (3,544,759) taken with the SCR Manual excerpt. The patent to Manz (3,544,759) discloses a welding power source that includes features claimed, including: an output mode selector labeled "operators switch control"; and a selector circuit associated with elements 2, 4, 6, and 8 to energize a pair of output terminals at elements 24 and 44 with different volt-amp characteristics. The claims differ from Manz (3,544,759) in calling for: the selector circuit to "electronically energize" the output terminals and more specifically the use of SCRs for this function (the selector circuit in Manz (3,544,759) energizes the outputs through the agency of electro-mechanical relays); the use of AC power of any number of phases; and the provision for a "kit" in claims 23-24. These differences do not patentably distinguish over the prior art. At the time applicant's invention was made, it would have been obvious to have used any well known switching technology in Manz (3,544,759) in lieu of the relays explicitly shown. In particular, it would have been obvious to have used an SCR based switching approach, the motivation being the teachings of the SCR Manual excerpt that it is advantageous to use SCR based switching circuits instead of electro-mechanical switches (see the "introduction" on page 143 of the SCR Manual excerpt), thereby satisfying the claim language calling for electronically energizing an

Art Unit: 1725

output terminal or using SCRs for this purpose. In regard to the claimed multiple phases, it would have been obvious to have used any well known source of A.C. in Manz (3,544,759), including poly-phase A.C., based on routine design considerations such as availability of a particular source, ripple constraints, etc. In regard to the "kit" limitations in claims 23-25, it is considered obvious that the particular circuit modules of the combination would be in discreet units before their construction into an operational power supply, simply because this is the way technological artifacts are made – large systems are built from smaller parts. This existence of the parts in a discreet mode would constitute a "kit", thereby satisfying the claim limitations.

3.) Claims 2 and 7-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Manz (3,544,759) taken with the SCR Manual excerpt as applied to claims 1, 3-6, and 23-25 above, and further in view of Yasuhara et al. (6,037,566). The only aspects of the claims to which the rejection above does not apply are: the provisions for constant current and constant voltage outputs as in claim 3; the provision for a common negative terminal as in claim 7; and the limitations directed to filters and inductors as for example in claim 8. These differences do not patentably distinguish over the prior art. At the time applicant's invention was made, it would have been obvious to have adjusted to output modes in Manz (3,544,759) for constant current and constant voltage, the motivation being the teachings of Yasuhara et al. (6,037,566) that it is advantageous for a multiple output power supply to provide these modes (see the abstract of Yasuhara et al. (6,037,566)). In regard to the common negative terminal and the various limitations directed to filters and inductors, it would have been obvious to have provided the system of Manz (3,544,759) with these features in view of the teachings of Yasuhara et al.

Application/Control Number: 10/605,018

Art Unit: 1725

(6,037,566) that such are advantageous in a multi-mode power supply (see the common negative terminal 10 in figure 1, see the inductors/filters at elements 3, 4, 7 in figure 1 and at elements 13 and 14 in figure 2 of Yasuhara et al. (6,037,566))

4.) The patents to Welch (2,597,689), Colley (4,517,439), Thommes (4,918,285), and Yasuhara et al. (6,028,290) are cited to show prior art arc welding power supplies that have multiple user selected output modes.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Clifford C Shaw at telephone number 571-272-1182. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday of the first week of the pay period and on Tuesday through Friday of the second week of the pay period.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Thomas G. Dunn, can be reached at 571-272-1171. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

Art Unit: 1725

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Clifford C Shaw Primary Examiner Art Unit 1725

April 1, 2005